(	<del>Case 6:16 cr 06063 FPG M</del>	WP Document 34	Filed 03/22/17 Page 1 of 30		
				1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
3					
4					
5		X			
6	UNITED STATES OF AM	IERICA	16-CR-6063(G)		
7	vs.		Rochester, New York		
8	TONY IVEY,	ndant.	December 6, 2016 3:38 p.m.		
9		X	5 55 1		
10					
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANK P. GERACI, JR.				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CHIEF JUDGE				
13					
14		RICHARD S. HAF	OTIINIAN ECO		
15		United States	~		
16			ted States Attorney		
17		Syracuse, New			
18		MARIANNE MARIA			
19		Federal Public BY: ROBERT G.	SMITH, ESQ.		
20		28 East Main S	eral Public Defender Street, Suite 400		
21		Rochester, New Appearing on k	v York 14614 Dehalf of the Defendant		
22	ALSO PRESENT:	Kerry Chartier	c, U.S. Probation Office		
23					
24			ating Federal Building		
25		100 State Stre Rochester, New			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	(WHEREUPON, the defendant is present).
4	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Are you Tony Ivey?
03:38:59рм 5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: You appear with your attorney Mr. Smith?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: And, Mr. Brown, you appear on behalf of
9	the Government?
03:39:05рм10	MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
12	MR. BROWN: Good afternoon.
13	THE COURT: Welcome.
14	MR. BROWN: Thank you.
03:39:09Рм15	THE COURT: This matter's on for a potential plea.
16	Is your client ready to proceed?
17	MR. SMITH: Yes, he is, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Before I begin there are a couple of
19	preliminary issues that we need to deal with. First of all,
03:39:20рм20	there was an R and R that was issued by Judge Payson regarding
21	the defendant's competency to proceed. She deemed that he was
22	competent after an examination and a report was received, and
23	I believe that there was no objection to that report.
24	Is that correct, Mr. Smith?
03:39:38Рм25	MR. SMITH: Yes, that is true, Your Honor.

THE COURT: By the Government as well? 1 2 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Based upon that then the Court will adopt the R and R of Judge Payson. 4 03:39:49PM 5 The other issue is regarding the defendant foregoing any motions in this case. Apparently no motions 6 7 were filed? MR. SMITH: No motions filed, Your Honor. And there 8 9 will be no motions prior to sentencing. I have told my client that I would make a motion after sentencing (sic) for his 03:40:06PM10 11 release. 12 He has a brother that died and the wake is on 13 Friday and the funeral is on Saturday. So I told him that I would make a motion for his release after he takes the plea. 14 03:40:25PM15 THE COURT: Okay. Is that correct, Mr. Ivey? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: You understand when you plead quilty, 18 you'll be giving up your right to make motions at this point, 19 except for a motion for bail, other motions related to the 03:40:39PM20 plea or sentencing? 21 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.2 23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ivey, I'm going to place you 2.4 under oath. I'm going to asking you a series of questions. 03:40:51PM25 If you fail to give any truthful testimony during the

1	questions, do you understand you could be charged with a
2	separate crime of perjury?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Please place the defendant under oath.
03:41:02PM 5	(WHEREUPON, the defendant was sworn).
6	THE COURT: Mr. Ivey, do you understand if you make
7	any false statements, that those statements could be used
8	against you by the Government in a prosecution for perjury?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
03:41:19Рм10	THE COURT: Okay. How old are you?
11	THE DEFENDANT: 53.
12	THE COURT: What's that?
13	THE DEFENDANT: 53.
14	THE COURT: 52?
03:41:30рм15	THE DEFENDANT: 53.
16	MR. SMITH: 53, Your Honor.
17	THE DEFENDANT: I just had a birthday.
18	THE COURT: 53, okay. How far did you go in school?
19	THE DEFENDANT: 8th grade, and a GED.
03:41:41рм20	THE COURT: Okay. Are you currently taking any
21	medications or drugs?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: What are you taking?
24	THE DEFENDANT: I take Prednisone, Lycinapro,
03:41:56Рм25	Gabapentin, and medication for my lupus and pain for my hip.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Are you taking any mental health
2	medications?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Just Seroquel.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Are any of these medications
03:42:17PM 5	affecting your ability to understand anything that's occurring
6	today?
7	THE DEFENDANT: No.
8	THE COURT: Do you understand everything?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
03:42:22Рм10	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any other health or
11	medical condition affecting your ability to understand
12	anything that's occurring today?
13	THE DEFENDANT: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Is anybody forcing you, coercing
03:42:33Рм15	you or threatening you to enter a plea of guilty?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review this
18	matter prior to today with your attorney Mr. Smith?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
03:42:43Рм20	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his
21	representations?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right
24	to an attorney throughout these proceedings right through the
03:42:52Рм25	time of sentencing?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to proceed to trial on this matter? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 03:42:58PM 5 THE COURT: That when you plead guilty, do you understand you're giving up your right to either trial by jury 6 or trial by judge? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: That you're giving up your right to allow your attorney to cross-examine witnesses on your behalf? 03:43:08PM10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: That you're giving up your right to have 13 the Government prove this case against you beyond a reasonable 14 doubt? 03:43:18PM15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: And if the matter went to trial, do you 17 understand that you cannot be compelled to testify or present 18 any evidence? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 03:43:26PM20 THE COURT: That the Court would instruct the jury 21 if there was a trial that you have no burden, that the burden rests with the Government to prove this case beyond a 2.2 23 reasonable doubt. 24 Do you understand that? 03:43:37PM25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand when you plead
       1
       2
          guilty, that's the same as if we did have a trial and either a
          jury or judge came back with a verdict of guilty?
       3
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
03:43:46PM 5
                       THE COURT: Do you understand you can persist or
          continue in your plea of not guilty?
       6
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
       7
                      THE COURT: Mr. Ivey, at this point I'm going to go
       8
       9
          through this. It's not a plea agreement, it's called a
          "Pimentel statement" regarding the parameters of this charge.
03:44:05PM10
      11
                       I'm going to review with you the potential
      12
          sentences here, the elements of the charge and also the
      13
          factual basis for this particular plea.
      14
                      Do you understand that you would be pleading guilty
03:44:22PM15
          to a one count indictment?
      16
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      17
                      THE COURT: That charges you with threatening to
      18
          kill a federal prosecutor?
      19
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                      THE COURT: Do you understand that? And do you
03:44:35Рм20
      21
          understand that charge carries a maximum term of 10 years
          imprisonment?
      22
      23
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      24
                      THE COURT: A fine of up to $250,000?
03:44:46PM25
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

THE COURT: It carries a period of supervised 1 2 release of up to three years. Do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 03:44:55PM 5 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you were sentenced to a period of supervised release and you violated 6 the conditions of supervised release, that you could receive a 7 period of imprisonment of two years without receiving credit 8 for the time you already served on supervised release? 03:45:11PM10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand this would require the payment of a special assessment in the amount of \$100? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Next I'm going to discuss 14 03:45:24PM15 with you the elements of this charge. This is what the 16 Government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt if 17 the matter went to trial. 18 First, the Government would have to prove that you as the defendant threatened to assault and murder a federal 19 law enforcement officer, specifically in this case an 03:45:40рм20 Assistant United States Attorney. 21 Do you understand that element? 2.2 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And that that individual, the Assistant 03:45:54PM25 |United States Attorney, is an official whose killing would be

1	a crime under United States Code.
2	Do you understand that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Second, the Government would have to
03:46:03РМ 5	prove that with the intent to impede, intimidate or interfere
6	with such official while that official was engaged in the
7	performance of official duties.
8	Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
03:46:17PM10	THE COURT: And that you also threatened this
11	individual with the intent to retaliate against such official,
12	the Assistant United States Attorney, on account of the
13	performance of that official's duties.
14	Do you understand that?
03:46:33Рм15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And that you made statements intending
17	to be a threat or with knowledge that the statement would be
18	viewed as a threat.
19	Do you understand that?
03:46:46Рм20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: So the Government would have to prove
22	all those elements beyond a reasonable doubt if the matter
23	went to trial before you could be found guilty.
24	Do you understand that?
03:46:54Рм25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

```
THE COURT: Okay. Next I'm going to discuss with
       1
       2
          you the factual basis for this plea. This indicates on
          June 14th, 2016, you called the United States Attorney's
       3
          Office in Rochester, New York.
                       Is that correct?
03:47:07PM 5
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
       6
                       THE COURT: And you called that office two times on
       7
       8
          that date.
       9
                       Is that right?
03:47:14PM10
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      11
                       THE COURT: And who did you talk to?
      12
                       THE DEFENDANT: An Assistant U.S. Attorney.
      13
                       THE COURT: Okay. Did he go by the name of Craig
          Gestring?
      14
03:47:27PM15
                       THE DEFENDANT: Probably.
      16
                       THE COURT: Do you know what his name was?
      17
                       THE DEFENDANT: He probably mentioned it, but I
      18
          don't remember it.
      19
                       THE COURT: You knew the person you were talking to
03:47:36PM20
          was an Assistant United States Attorney?
      21
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                       THE COURT: And why did you call him?
      2.2
                       THE DEFENDANT: To question my -- a court order
      23
      2.4
          process of housing violation.
03:47:49PM25
                       THE COURT: So you had a question regarding
```

```
treatment you were receiving from the Rochester Housing
       2
          Authority.
       3
                      Is that right?
                      THE DEFENDANT: Right.
       4
                      THE COURT: And what did Mr. Gestring tell you?
03:47:55PM 5
                      THE DEFENDANT: Well, he was saying that he couldn't
       6
       7
          say because it is -- he's not a lawyer in that sense. He's a
          U.S. Attorney. And I was questioning him about the violation
       8
          of my civil rights to the court order that was by a city court
          judge.
03:48:34PM10
      11
                      THE COURT: He told you he could not assist you in
          this particular matter?
      12
      13
                      THE DEFENDANT: Right.
                      THE COURT: What did you do?
      14
                      THE DEFENDANT: Well, I tried to go at it a
03:48:39PM15
      16
          different way and he -- he still said that he couldn't assist,
      17
          and said that he could direct me to some more entity, but I
      18
          told him I already called them, to no avail.
      19
                      THE COURT: What did you do? Did you threaten him
03:49:08PM20
          after that?
      21
                      THE DEFENDANT: I pretty much said, well, I am -- I
          am willing to die for what I believe in. Are you? And to me
      2.2
      23
          I thought that was a question. I didn't think it was a threat
      24
          because I didn't -- I didn't intend it as a threat.
03:49:26PM25
                      The reason I said, you know, my name is because
```

```
when -- I called him from my phone, I didn't try to block my
       2
          number.
                       THE COURT: Well, did you mention having an assault
       3
       4
          weapon?
                       THE DEFENDANT: No.
03:49:39PM 5
                       THE COURT: You didn't say that?
       6
                       THE DEFENDANT: Probably mention something about the
       7
          shooting that just had happened in Florida about an assault
       8
       9
          weapon.
03:49:50PM10
                       THE COURT: But did you threaten to assault or
      11
          murder him with an assault weapon?
      12
                       THE DEFENDANT: No, because I don't have access to
      13
          such.
      14
                       THE COURT: Okay. Did you threaten to assault or
03:50:00PM15
          murder him?
      16
                       THE DEFENDANT: No.
      17
                       THE COURT: Not at all?
      18
                       THE DEFENDANT: Like I said, that's the best thing I
      19
          said is, I said, well, then what happen if the person came
03:50:15PM20
          down there to your place of work with a automatic assault
      21
          rifle and then what? They'll go to jail or be killed.
      2.2
                      And it's just a misinterpretation of words.
      23
                       THE COURT: Well, that's a problem then. Are you
      24
          saying you did not intend to threaten him or threaten to kill
          him?
03:50:36Рм25
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could cover some other 3 statements that were made? 4 03:50:45PM 5 THE COURT: Sure. MR. BROWN: From the conversations, the defendant 6 stated that is the shit that makes a motherfucker -- mimicking 7 a shooting noise -- start killing you motherfuckers because of 8 white racist motherfuckers like you. He mentioned getting an assault rifle and getting 03:51:01PM10 11 his own justice. 12 When he was apprehended later that day by the 13 U.S. Marshals he stated, you are the type of person that 14 provoke Orlando. I wish I had a gun, I don't have access, but 03:51:16PM15 if I got them, do that shit, implying that he would engage in 16 a mass shooting. 17 THE COURT: Is this a recorded conversation? 18 MR. BROWN: The recorded conversation aspect is the 19 statement that he is -- that AUSA bullshit just like the 03:51:35Рм20 racist motherfuckers. Motherfucker, you know what my name is, 21 I'm not afraid of shit, I'm willing to die for my rights, motherfucker, the fuck are you? 22 23 AUSA Gestring asked him not speak that way, which 2.4 the defendant responded that's the shit that makes a motherfucker -- then he makes noise the sounds like 03:51:49PM25

```
shooting -- start killing you motherfuckers because of white
       2
          racist motherfuckers like you. That's the statement that's
       3
          recorded.
                      THE COURT: Okay.
03:52:01PM 5
                      MR. BROWN: That's what the tape says.
                      MR. SMITH: I was talking to my client, Your Honor.
       6
                      THE COURT: Sure, go ahead, take your time.
       7
                      MR. SMITH: That's what I -- I think Mr. Ivey is
       8
       9
          willing to continue the colloquy, Your Honor.
                      THE COURT: Mr. Ivey, you heard what Mr. Brown just
03:52:39PM10
      11
          said was on a recording --
      12
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
      13
                      THE COURT: -- of your conversation with
      14
          Mr. Gestring?
03:52:47PM15
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
      16
                      THE COURT: Okay. Are those the words that he just
      17
          stated your words?
      18
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      19
                      THE COURT: You indicated that, in sum and
          substance, that he was a racist and that -- I'm sorry, could
03:52:59PM20
      21
          you just repeat some of that?
                      MR. BROWN: He's full of shit, just like the rest of
      2.2
      23
          the racist motherfuckers. Motherfucker, you know what my name
      2.4
          is. I'm not afraid of shit. I'm willing to die for my
          rights, motherfucker, and fuck you, meaning are you.
03:53:19PM25
```

```
AUSA Gestring then asked him not to speak that way,
       1
       2
          to which the defendant responded, that is the shit that makes
          a motherfucker -- then a shooting noise -- start killing you
       3
          motherfuckers because of white racist motherfuckers like you.
          Then he hung up.
03:53:33PM 5
                      THE COURT: Did you indicate to Mr. Gestring as
       6
          stated by Mr. Brown in this conversation that you were ready
       7
       8
          to die and asked him if he was as well?
       9
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                      THE COURT: And was that to convey a threat to him
03:53:56PM10
      11
          that he could be subject to an assault by you?
      12
                      THE DEFENDANT: No.
                      THE COURT: No? Well, what were you saying this for?
      13
      14
                      THE DEFENDANT: I was saying it, for example, like
          Martin Luther King, he died for it, his civil rights, for what
03:54:12PM15
      16
          he believed in, right?
      17
                      So my issue is about the court order, that if --
      18
          the court orders says I don't owe Rochester Housing zero. So
          then why is Rochester Housing allow to -- it is overrule a
      19
03:54:40PM20
          court order and does not read Section 8 and claim I still owe
      21
          them when a judge already said I do not.
                      THE COURT: Go ahead, speak to your client.
      2.2
      23
                      THE DEFENDANT: Now, in -- in -- in the course of
      24
          the conversation I can see how it is that the assistant
03:55:24PM25
          attorney interpreted it as a threat and, therefore, it is --
```

```
1
          it was bad speaking on my part.
       2
                      THE COURT: Well, the problem is were you intending
          to threaten him at least?
       3
                      THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, not really.
03:55:45PM 5
                      THE COURT: Okay.
                      THE DEFENDANT: I was just upset because he wasn't
       6
       7
          trying to hear it is what I was saying.
                      THE COURT: Right, you were upset with him because
       8
       9
          he wasn't responding to you and your concern about the
          treatment you were receiving from the Rochester Housing
03:55:59PM10
      11
          Authority, correct?
                      THE DEFENDANT: Right.
      12
      13
                      THE COURT: Okay. And so you in turn talked to him
          about being ready to die?
      14
03:56:10PM15
                      THE DEFENDANT: Because I've been waiting for this
          program for six years. I live in a rooming house or was
      16
      17
          living in a rooming house. I need surgery of a hip
      18
          replacement and -- and I can't get aid while living in a
      19
          rooming house.
03:56:27PM20
                      So, therefore, it is -- I have kept putting it off
      21
          and putting it off and now my number came up and they're gonna
          throw in a monkey wrench as the judge made a court order that
      22
      23
          I don't owe them zero. So this is why because I was calling
      2.4
          to state to the attorney to begin with to find out where are
03:56:51PM25
          my civil rights at.
```

```
THE COURT: Okay, why did you mention an assault
       1
       2
          rifle?
                       THE DEFENDANT: Just angry at the -- the way the
       3
          conversation was going downhill.
       4
                      THE COURT: At Mr. Gestring?
03:57:01PM 5
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, pretty much.
       6
                      THE COURT: All right. So did you mention the
       7
          assault rifle in order to put him in fear?
       8
       9
                      THE DEFENDANT: I guess, yes, sir.
                      THE COURT: You guess or is that what you -- why you
03:57:11PM10
      11
          did it? It just didn't come out of the blue.
      12
                      THE DEFENDANT: It just happened. Just got -- just
      13
          caught up in the moment.
      14
                      THE COURT: Okay. And you threatened to use an
         assault rifle on him?
03:57:24PM15
      16
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, yes, sir.
      17
                      THE COURT: Okay. And did you understand that as a
      18
          threat to him?
      19
                      THE DEFENDANT: Not then I didn't, but now I do.
                      THE COURT: Okay. And you understand how he would
03:57:36Рм20
          see that as a threat to his life as well?
      21
      2.2
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
                      THE COURT: The fact that you said you're ready to
      23
      2.4
          die and asked him if he was ready to die?
03:57:51PM25
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

```
THE COURT: The statements that were read by
       1
       2
          Mr. Brown, were those statements you made?
       3
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
       4
                      THE COURT: It was accurate what he read into the
          record here?
03:58:02PM 5
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
       6
                      THE COURT: Okay. And you understood Mr. Gestring
       7
          at the time he was with you on the phone was an Assistant
       8
          United States Attorney, and that from what your understanding
          is, he was performing his duties as an Assistant United States
03:58:16PM10
      11
          Attorney?
      12
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      13
                      THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied that that's
      14
          sufficient?
                      MR. BROWN: I think it qualifies. I think it's --
03:58:27PM15
          it has to be with knowledge the statement would be viewed as a
      16
      17
          threat, and I think if Mr. Ivey can equivocate on that point,
      18
          which I think he just did, then I think we're okay under --
      19
                      THE COURT: You believe that that threat would be
          perceived certainly by Mr. Gestring as a threat that you were
03:58:50PM20
      21
          going to kill him or harm him?
                      THE DEFENDANT: Later on once I heard the knocking
      2.2
      23
          on the door, I realized what it was, but like I just got
          caught up in the moment because I was so anticipating this
          Section 8.
03:59:12PM25
```

```
THE COURT: I understand that. But what I'm saying
       1
       2
          is those statements you made that were read by Mr. Brown --
       3
                       THE DEFENDANT: Right.
                      THE COURT: -- regarding being ready to die and
       4
          asking him if he was ready to die, you mentioned an assault
03:59:21PM 5
          weapon, you understood that that would be perceived by
          Mr. Gestring as a threat.
       7
                      Is that right?
       8
       9
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
                      THE COURT: That's why you said that because you
03:59:31PM10
      11
          were angry because he wasn't responding to your inquiry about
          the Rochester Housing Authority?
      12
      13
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
                      THE COURT: Okay, I think that's sufficient.
      14
03:59:56PM15
                      Next, Mr. Ivey, I'm going to discuss with you the
      16
          sentencing guidelines. The Court must consider the
      17
          quidelines, but I'm not bound by those.
      18
                      Do you understand that?
      19
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
04:00:06PM20
                      THE COURT: Do you understand this carries what's
          called a base offense level of 12?
      21
      2.2
                      THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      23
                      THE COURT: And there's a two level upward
      24
          adjustment of that based upon the fact that there was an
          official victim in this case, specifically an Assistant United
04:00:17PM25
```

```
States Attorney, Mr. Gestring.
       1
       2
                       Do you understand that?
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
       3
                       THE COURT: So when the Court combines the --
       4
                       MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that's three
04:00:28PM 5
          points.
       6
                       THE COURT: Three. Did I say two?
       7
                       MR. BROWN: Yeah.
       8
       9
                       THE COURT: Three level upward adjustment.
04:00:32PM10
                       Is that correct?
      11
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
      12
                       THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that then
      13
          results in an adjusted offense level of 15, which is 12 plus
      14
          three?
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
04:00:44PM15
      16
                       THE COURT: He'd only be eligible for a two level
      17
          downward adjustment?
      18
                       MR. BROWN: Correct. There's no other enhancements
      19
          that apply, it would be two points off.
04:00:54PM20
                       THE COURT: You would receive a two level downward
      21
          adjustment for your acceptance of responsibility by your plea
          of guilty, your acknowledgment of your involvement in this
      2.2
      23
          offense, which would result in a total offense level of 13.
      24
                       Do you understand that?
04:01:05PM25
                       THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

THE COURT: My understanding your criminal history 1 2 category is a level II. Did you discuss that with Mr. Smith? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And when the Court then combines the 4 total offense level of 13 with a criminal history category of 04:01:21PM 5 II, do you understand the period of imprisonment under the 6 guidelines would be a term of imprisonment between 15 months 7 8 and 21 months? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Is there anything further? 04:01:35PM10 11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. SMITH: I believe that's enough for a plea, Your 14 Honor. 04:02:05PM15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ivey, I'm going to ask you at 16 this time, I'm going to read to you Count 1 and I'm going to 17 ask you at the end how you plead to that count, either quilty 18 or not guilty. 19 Count 1 of this indictment charges you with threatening to kill a federal prosecutor. It states on or 04:02:18PM20 21 about June 14th, 2016, in Monroe County, within the Western District of New York, that you the defendant, Tony Ivey, did 2.2 threaten to assault and murder a federal law enforcement 23 24 officer, an official, whose killing would be a crime under the 04:02:44PM25 United States Code, with the intent to impede, intimidate or

interfere with such law enforcement officer while engaging in 1 the performance of his official duties with the intent to 2 retaliate against such law enforcement official on account of 3 the performance of his official duties in that you, Tony Ivey, 4 threatened to kill an Assistant United States Attorney while 04:03:11PM 5 he was engaged in the performance of his official duties. 6 I'll ask you how you plead to threatening to kill a 7 federal prosecutor, guilty or not guilty? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. THE COURT: The defendant's 53 years old. Has an 04:03:25PM10 11 8th grade education, also secured later a GED. 12 Indicates that he is taking some medications for a variety of conditions, including mental health conditions and 13 other medical conditions, but none of those medications effect 14 04:03:47PM15 his ability to understand anything that was occurring today. 16 That nobody's threatening him, forcing him or 17 coercing him to enter a plea of guilty. 18 That he's been represented by Mr. Smith. 19 satisfied with Mr. Smith's representations. He understands he 04:04:04PM20 has a right to counsel throughout these proceedings right 21 through the time of sentencing. He understands he has a right to go to trial, and 2.2 23 that by pleading guilty he was giving up that right, giving up 24 his right to allow his attorney to cross-examine witnesses,

giving up his right to have the Government prove this case

04:04:20PM25

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

2.4

04:04:40PM 5

04:04:58PM10

04:05:16PM15

04:05:31PM20

04:05:44PM25

against him beyond a reasonable doubt. He is giving up his right to testify or present evidence, if he elected to do so.

He understands if the matter did proceed to trial, a jury would be advised that he has no burden. He cannot be compelled to testify or present any evidence.

That a plea of guilty has the same force and effect as if there was a trial by a jury or a judge, and a jury or judge returned a verdict of guilty.

The defendant understood the maximum penalty in this case regarding a charge of threatening to kill a federal prosecutor involves a term of imprisonment of up to 10 years, a fine of up to \$250,000, and a period of supervised release of up to three years.

If he violates the conditions of supervised release, he could receive a sentence of up to two years imprisonment without receiving credit for the time he served on supervised release.

There's also a \$100 special assessment that must be paid in this case.

He understood the elements of this charge that would need to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt if the matter did proceed to trial.

The Government would have to prove that the defendant threatened to assault or murder a federal law enforcement officer, specifically an Assistant United States

1 Attorney.

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

That he had the intent to impede, intimidate or interfere with such official while engaged in the performance of his official duties or with the intent to retaliate against such official on account of the performance of his official duties.

That the defendant made the statements intending them to be a threat or with knowledge that the statement would be viewed as a threat.

The defendant acknowledged that he on June 14th, 2016, called the United States Attorney's Office in Rochester, New York on two separate occasions, spoke to Assistant United States Attorney Craig Gestring to complain about treatment he was receiving at the Rochester Housing Authority.

That Mr. Gestring informed him that this was not within the scope of his responsibilities. That the defendant then indicated that -- he threatened to assault Assistant United States Attorney Gestring using various language, including stating that he was ready to die for this, and asked Mr. Gestring if he was ready to die for that.

Also in a recorded conversation he did discuss the use of an assault weapon and other shootings with assault weapons.

That his statements were made with the intent to intimidate, impede, or interfere with Mr. Gestring, who was

04:06:16PM10

04:06:01PM 5

04:06:35PM15

18

19

2.2

23

04:06:57PM20

21

24

04:07:13PM25

engaged in the performance of his official duties or with the intent to retaliate against Mr. Gestring on account of the performance of his official duties.

That the statements he made intending them to be a threat or with knowledge that his statements would be viewed as a threat.

The defendant indicated he understood the calculation of the sentencing guidelines. That this charge carries a base offense level of 12.

There is a three level upward adjustment for the victim being an official.

That he would receive a two level downward adjustment for his acceptance of responsibility, resulting in a total offense level of 13.

His criminal history category is II; when combined with a total offense level of 13, results in a period of imprisonment under the guidelines of a term of 15 to 21 months.

The defendant indicated he understood both the elements of the charge, the calculation of the sentencing guidelines as well.

And he did articulate a factual basis for the plea to the one count indictment charging him with threatening to kill a federal prosecutor.

The Court finds based upon his responses, the plea

04:07:47PM10

04:07:32PM 5

12

13

14

16

17

11

4

6

7

8

04:08:01PM15

18

19

04:08:21PM20

2.2

23

21

24

04:08:37PM25

- is in all respects knowing and voluntary . Therefore, the 2 Court does accept the plea of guilty to the one count indictment charging the defendant with threatening to kill a 3 federal prosecutor. 4 Date for sentencing, March 7th, put the matter on 04:08:53PM 5 for March 7th, 3:30 for sentencing. 6 MR. SMITH: Your Honor --7 THE COURT: You have an application? 8 9 MR. SMITH: Yes. Mr. Ivey is -- he had a number of brothers and sisters, but he had one brother that died and the 04:09:22PM10 11 wake is Saturday -- I'm sorry, the wake is Friday and the 12 funeral is Saturday and should be over with by 6 o'clock. 13 I would ask that Mr. Ivey be released so he can attend the funeral and the wake with his family. His brother, 14 as I mentioned, has died, although his mother is still alive 04:09:45PM15 16 and still in town. I would ask that he be allowed to attend 17 both those events. 18 I do know that he's held off in Ohio, so I had asked that his girlfriend come here and have clothes with him 19 04:10:12PM20 so that if the Court was going to, they could release him 21 today and then he could go and see the wake and the funeral and he then could come back at 6 o'clock on Saturday and 2.2 surrender to the Monroe County Jail. 23
- His girlfriend is a woman by the name of Rosemary
  O4:10:35PM25 Speed, who also is a federal employee. She works at the

United States Tax Bureau in Buffalo. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Has Probation interviewed the defendant? 3 MS. CHARTIER: Yes, we have, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Do you have a recommendation? 04:10:50PM 5 MS. CHARTIER: Judge, Camaryn Buckner from my 6 7 office had interviewed him previously. Her recommendation was that Mr. Ivey remain in custody pending disposition of this 8 9 case. I have a copy of the bail report if Your Honor 04:11:12PM10 11 would like to see it? THE COURT: Sure. Government want to be heard? 12 13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the Government with respect 14 to this would rest on the arguments that it set forth at the 04:13:39PM15 detention hearing regarding his danger to the community, which were fairly lengthy regarding the charges, the criminal 16 17 history at issue here, the conduct post-arrest and the general 18 difficulty in attending even court sessions with respect to 19 this proceeding, including last week, I think, sets a fairly 04:14:06PM20 difficult standard for the Court at this point with respect to 21 him being released at this time. I have in previous cases seen cases where if the 2.2 23 defendant is willing to pay for a United States Marshal escort 2.4 to and from the funeral, that has, in fact, been allowed in 04:14:24PM25 other cases. The cases that I was aware of that happening

were not similarly situated to this, though, with respect to 2 the violence.

MR. SMITH: If I can just be heard, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sure.

certainly should not be released.

MR. SMITH: Certainly everything that Mr. Brown said 04:14:34PM 5 is true and everything that happened up until Mr. Ivey was 6 evaluated was true, and I don't think anybody is trying to say 7 that person that was evaluated before that evaluation 8

> But after the evaluation, Mr. Ivey has kind of come around and right now looks like he is in a much better condition probably because of the medicine, the Seroquel he's taking and some of the other medicine that he has for his various hips and things.

And he just is better now than he was then. And since he is going to have to be out in the community sooner or later, even if they Court went with the upward adjustment or high end at 21 months, that would sooner or later be Mr. Ivey's out in the community.

So I would think that maybe if you could let him out for the wake and the funeral, it would be a chance for him to show that he is not the same person that obviously the Court is aware of, and I would urge the Court to release him for just that period of time.

And also would be quite a bit for Mr. Ivey to see

3

4

9 04:14:55PM10

11

12

13

16

18

21

2.2

24

14

04:15:14PM15

17

19 04:15:33PM20

23

04:15:57PM25

1 his family.

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

2 THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

The Court's had the opportunity to review the Probation report regarding potential release in this case.

The defendant has a very extensive history of either bench warrants and/or violations of probation or parole going back to 1985 where probation was violated.

In 1989 he had a parole violation and parole was revoked.

Bench warrant in 1991; a second bench warrant also issued in 1991; a bench warrant issued in 1994; bench warrant issued in 1998 -- two separate bench warrants on that particular occasion.

In addition, the defendant has an assault second felony conviction, sentenced to four years, was paroled, parole was ultimately violated and received revocation of parole as well on that occasion.

The defendant has a mental health history of violent behavior history, history of assaults.

This case obviously is a very serious matter.

Based upon that, the Court finds that there is no basis to release the defendant. He presents both a risk to the community as well as a risk of flight, and based upon that the application for his release pending sentencing is denied.

Now, if there's some provision that can be made for

04:16:36Рм10

04:16:14PM 5

14

04:17:10PM15

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

16

\_

04:17:39РМ20

24

04:18:02PM25

```
him to attend a wake or a funeral, you might have to check
       2
          with the Marshal's Service on that, but other than that, I'm
       3
          certainly not going to release him short of that, okay?
       4
                      MR. SMITH: Okay, thank you.
04:18:15PM 5
                      THE COURT: Thank you.
                      MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
       6
                       (WHEREUPON, the proceedings adjourned at 4:18 p.m.)
       7
       8
       9
                               CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
      10
      11
                    In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I certify that
      12
          these original notes are a true and correct record of
      13
          proceedings in the United States District Court for the
      14
          Western District of New York before the Honorable Frank P.
      15
          Geraci, Jr. on December 6th, 2016.
      16
      17
          S/ Christi A. Macri
      18
          Christi A. Macri, FAPR-CRR
          Official Court Reporter
      19
      20
      2.1
      22
      23
      24
      25
```